G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

~~1890~~ 1892-3

(Madras)

File No. 135

Serial Nos. Sec II

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Mismanagement of the Durga at Kesarmedu in the Tanjore-Taluq

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
	Judy 657—08
	342—11
	31-2-0
	Judy 201-24-26
	45-31-(1+2)

LR 212-11 Clerk

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE

File No.

SUBJECT.

Re management of the Darga at Kesarmadu in the Tumkur Taluk.

From

The Dy Commissioner, ~~Kadur~~ Tumkur Dt.

No. 467 Dated 10th November 1906.

One Syed Mohomed Sha Khadri and another Syed Rahman Badsha Khadri, petitioned this Office in November 1902, complaining that the village of Kesarmadu granted as inam to the Darga at the place, was alienated by the Kiledars and praying that a proper person from the family of the original Manager, ~~[illegible]~~ might be appointed as Manager of the Darga. His petn and the

File No. of Muzrai Secretariat.

subsequent ones from the same individuals were all referred to the Dy Comm^r of the Tumkur Dt^t for report. That Officer has submitted a detailed report (with his no 467 dy 10.11.1906 together with the records of the case from which it is observed as follows.

The village of Kesarmadu in the Tumkur Taluk is a Sarva Manya inam granted for the support of the Mosque Padsha Khadri Dargah. At the Inam Settlement in 1870, the inam was confirmed free of tax, so long as the object of the grant was fulfilled. The village was then in the enjoyment of Muthyaya Khadri and his co-sharers by virtue of Sanads from Nawab Hyder Ali Khan, Tippu Sultan & His Highness the late Maharaja Krishna Raja Wodeyar Bahadur III. Vide extract of the Inam Reg^r

The village was divided into shares among the descendants

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

of the original grantee, a portion being set apart for the maintenance of the Dargah and the village tank. The arrears of local cess on the village due to Govt for 4 years from ~~1876~~ 1876-77 to 1879-80, not having been paid, the village was attached in 1881 under the orders of the then Dy Commr the late Sri Sheshadri Iyer, and continued to be managed through Govt agency. The mamdars paid up all arrears & claimed restoration of the village when the then Dy commr the late Mr Mohomed Ali recommended (1) Syed Halim Sha Khadri & (2) Syed Halim Badsha Khadri for nomination as Managers.

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

This nomination was sanctioned by the Dewan (Sir Theshadri Iyer) and orders were issued in Lr: No $\frac{3224}{126}$ dy 21st August 1884. * E

While the village and the Darga were thus being managed conjointly by the two persons named above, the first manager complained against the Second accusing him of misappropriation of the realizations of the village and mismanagement of the Darga. This was enquired into and reported by Govt by the then Dy Commr Sri Krishna Murti who stated (in his report no 367 dy 14th Nov: 1888) C that proper accounts had not been kept, that much useless expenditure was incurred and that the Darga had been allowed to fall into a state of disrepair.

He added that one of the managers had died and recommended the appointment of the surviving manager as sole manager of the Dargah, monthly accounts being rendered by him & the Amildar exercising authority & supervision over the Dargah as a Muzrai institution.

This recommendation was approved by the Dewan & orders were accordingly issued in Pro: No 1334-5/43 dy 22nd May 1889.

In his letter No 52 dy 15th July 1893, the dy commr, Trichinopoly Mr. R.K. Venkatavaradiengar, reported that Maulvi Badsha Khan Sahib had not been managing the Dargah & its endowments to satisfactorily & that great laxity prevailed in keeping regular accounts &c.

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

He added that the manager had resigned his office on the 25th February 1893 on the score of old age and that none had been appointed to the post since then. The villagers & others interested in the Dargah having unanimously recommended one Khada Badsha Khadri a brother of the Syed Kalim Badsha Khadri, the Govt. approved the nomination in their Pro. No 113 dy 27th July 1893, and directed the submission of regular accounts by the manager, the Amildar exercising supervision over this instn as he did over the other Muzrai institns.

Upon the complaint-petn referred to in para 1 supra, the Dy Commr Mr. K. S. Dorasami Iyer

(No. 467 of 10-11-1906
Paper under disposal)

reports that complaints of mismanagement of the inam are being repeatedly brought against every one of the managers that the Darga and the Masjid attached thereto are now in a most dilapidated condition, that the village tank is also in disrepair and that the feeding of the poor and the Urus and the Moharam are not carried out and that the appointment of one Manager or of a plurality of managers will not improve matters as past experience has shown. Under the foregoing circumstances the Dy Comm^r recommends that the Darga and its endowments should be taken over under Govt management & some a-

8.

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

satisfactory administration of the charity.

He promises to enquire about the alienation of the inam lands referred to in the petition.

Submitted 21/11/06

Muzrai Secretary's Queries:—

What is the income from the inam village? Who collects the income from the village? How is the income spent? What do the parties say about Govt taking possession of the village and the Durga?

Even if Govt take possession of the Durga and its endowment who are to look after the services in the Durga?

21. 11 06

Page ( )

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

Submitted.

The entire extent of the inam village is 98-16-3 (K. C. P) with an assessment of Rs 980, of which 3-10-4½ (K. C. P) is treated as kharab on account of the village site and the bed of the tank. Out of the remaining arable extent 52-8-12 (K C P) is stated to be in the enjoyment of some Talkris who claim to be the descendants of Mouna Badsha Khadri. An area of 6-9-8¾ (K C P) is set apart as inam for the village Barabaloti Service and the remaining 36-6-9¾ (K. C. P) given out to ryots for cultivation which fetches an annual income of Rs 287-14-0 including cesses. Out of this income, Rs 178-2-5 is stated to be distributed among

the Hereditary and the balance viz- Rs 103-12-5 plus a Mohatarfa of Rs 7- is set apart for expenditure in the Durga. No accounts have been kept showing the details of the expenditure.

Mr. C. Narayana Rao, Asst commr who held the enquiry reports that two competitors have come forward for the post of Manager, that each wishes to be the sole controller and denies the title of the other and that the ancestors of both held the office of Manager. He suggests that the Durga and its endowment should be placed under the control of the Amildar. He also states that the income may be utilized for the repair of the Durga

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

and the Masjid which are in a bad condition:

Submitted by

Muzrai Secretary's remarks:—

The state of circumstances brought to notice is so bad that it seems desirable for Govt. to step in and take charge of the institution & its endowment, as recommended by the Dy Commr. This measure may be sanctioned and the Dy Commr requested to make needful arrangements for daily services &c at a small cost and to deposit the remaining amount of income in the Savings Bank with the view of obliging him it towards removing the Durga and the Masjid. The

the Kistdars and the balance viz- Rs 103-12-5 plus a Mohatarfa of Rs 7- is set apart for expenditure in the Durga. No accounts have been kept showing the details of the expenditure.

Mr. C. Narayana Rao, Asst. comm:. who held the enquiry reports that two competetors have come forward for the post of Manager, that each wishes to be the sole controller and denies the title of the other and that the ancestors of both held the office of Manager. He suggests that the Durga and its endowment should be placed under the control of the Amildar. He also states that the income may be utilized for the repair of the Durga

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

and the Masjid which are in a bad condition

Submitted by

Muzrai Secretary's remarks:—

The state of circumstances brought to notice is so bad that it seems desirable for Govt. to step in and take charge of the institution & its endowment, as recommended by the Dy Commr. This measure may be sanctioned and the Dy Commr requested to make needful arrangements for daily services &c at a small cost and to deposit the remaining amount of income in the Savings Bank with the view of utilizing it towards removal of the Darga and the Masjid. The

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

action taken should be reported to Govt. for their approval.

25/3
Submitted to the 2nd Committee

V. Venkatasami Rao
29. 11. 906

I think the Deputy Commrs recommendation may be accepted. The Charity has been mismanaged & there is no competent man who can be appointed Manager. The Institution & its Endowments may be taken under Govt. Management & necessary arrangts made to have the Daily services Conducted and the Savings utilized for the repairing of the Kanga & the Mosque.

RR M
30/11/906

Draft approved
1/12/06

Draft issued 3/12/06 Issue 6/12/06

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat File No. of 190

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05.

OFFICE I 190 25-10-02
723

No. 954/02

Name of Petitioner Syed Mohamed Sheik Khadry + Syed Rahman Badshah Khadry.

Village Kesarec Madoo

Taluk Jaffna

District do

Date of Arzi 2-10-02

Date of Receipt 3-10-02

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure

Jaffna Rupee Stamp 1/8

Transferred to the Secretary Mujrai Dept

3-10-02

24/10/02

Recd 25.10.02

ABSTRACT

Submit that the village of Kesari Madoo was given as Sarva Manya Inam to Syed Momin Badshah Khadry about 300 years ago, on account of the Dargah of the village, that the hissadars of the village are bound to conduct the Oroos (Jatray) and the charities of the dargah at proper times and to enjoy their respective shares of land in the said village that this fact is mentioned in the Inam Settlement that the late Tevk. Sethadri Iyer when Deputy Commr of Jaffna ordered on a petition of Complaint against the arbitrary alienation by

MJCPB—4,000—31-1-02

the Peszedars to this effect
of the inam village that
the hissadars were not
authorized to alienate
the lands in any manner
and such of the lands as
had been alienated should
be made to revert to the
inamdars, that notwith-
standing such orders, some
of the Hissadars have
recently alienated some
of the most valuable lands
in the said inam village,
and that one Azamath
Khan alias Babjee, a
descendant of the original
inamdar Syed Momin
Badshah Khadry, in col-
lusion with some bad
people has been giving
trouble and prevents
the petitioners from per-
forming the pooja of the
said Dargah, which fact
will be borne out by a
perusal of the records in
the Criminal Miscase
No 48 of 1900-01 on the
file of the Second General
Asst Commr & 1st class
Magistrate of Tainkur,
that for the last 3 years
& since the death of the
last manager, one Syed
Khader Badshah Khadry,
a brother of the petitioners,
no Manager has been
appointed to look after
the affairs of the Dargah
though one Syed Peer Syed

Shah Khadry been looking after the business in a very unsatisfactory manner.

The petitioners pray that a proper person from the family of the original inamdar Syed Mouein Badshah Khadry, may be appointed as manager of the Dargah, and that the lands of the inam village which have been alienated may be ordered to be released and an order passed for the charities and pooja of the Dargah being conducted without interruption or impediment.

MR
23/11/07

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date. 25-10-02
REG. No. 723
MUZRAI BRANCH

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REGD. N 959R
DATE 3-10-19

To
His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore
Bangalore.

Two rupee stamp affd

959

The humble petition of
Syed Mahomed Shah Khadry
bin Syed Mahomed Murtaza
Shah Khadry and late Managers
Syed Rahaman Badsha Khadry
bin Syed Abdool Razak Khadry,
Hissedars & Jaroor (Inamdars),
Kisaree Mandoo village, Tumkur
Taluk, Tumkur District,
Most respectfully shewet th:-

1 That the said village of
Kisareemandoo was given as Surva
Inam on account of the Dargah
of this village, about 300 years ago
and the Hissedars of the village
are bound to conduct the
Coroos (Jatra) and the charities
of the Dargah as prior times
and to enjoy these perquisites
shares of land in the said village
(each has been mentioned

in the Indian settlement Acts, and therefore the Natives have no right whatever to alienate their shares in any manner

2 That recently some of the Natives of the said Indian village alienated their shares of land certain by sale and mortgage to, and their aliences have thus been enjoying their respective lands which they obtained in the manner aforesaid, and the purposes for which the Indian village was given have been frustrated

3 That during the time that the late Deputy Surveyor K. G. Leslie was Deputy Commissioner of Lands a complaint was laid before him stating that the Indian lands of the said village had been alienated contrary to which that officer was invited to investigate that the Natives of the said village had not been

not been authorized to alienate the lands in any manner, and such of the lands as have been alienated should be confiscated, and accordingly see the lands that had been improperly alienated were made to revert to Inamdars.

The Copy of the said order has been given along with a petition that he be heard personally to the Deputy Commissioner of Dumka regarding the subject about 2 months ago.

4. That although such order had been passed, yet some of the Inamdars have subsequently, without giving his attention to the order aforesaid alienated some of the most valuable lands in the said Inam Village, and have received monies from the aliences, and have caused a good deal of hardships to arise and have thus caused impediments in the performance of charities, and have almost ruined the village.

5. That one Syed Mamun Radha Khady was the person who acquired the said village in Zemindari from the Raja of the said village which goes up his name.

6. That one Azmathpeera Khady, a great great grandson of the said Syed Mamun Radha Khady took in adoption one Rahimon Khan, who had a son named Mansur Aliza Khan and he has a son named Azmath Khan alias Kaliyoo.

7. The said Azmath Khan alias Kaliyoo, with Fyazudeen son of Murtadeen Radha Khady, and some others have alienated most of the lands of the said village.

8. The said Azmath Khan alias Kaliyoo in connection with some wicked persons has been preventing the petitioners from performing the Pooja of the said Durga and has been causing great deal of annoyance and trouble.

A kind perusal of the records in

in Criminal case No 48 of
900-901 on the file of the Second
Additional Assistant Commissioner
and Sirsha Class Magistrate of
Sunkur will commence from
this date. That proceedings
have been presented herein
being performed to the Magis-
9 That the Manager has
been appointed to manage
the Estates of the Magis
for the last 3 years, and prior
to that one Syed Khader Bandu
Khadry. Petitioners brother
was looking after the Estates
and he died about 3 years ago.
Subsequently one Syed Peer
Gyebe Sha Khadry has been
managing the Estates very
unsatisfactorily. His account
should be duly examined. The Chairman
of the village also has asked
this Honourable Court to
for Rupees 200-00 two hundred.
10 Petitioners most humbly
and respectfully pray that
proper person, under the supervision
to look after the Estates, in
the

the family of Alexander Brodie
deceased.

Petitioners further pray
that your Honour will be graciously
pleased to make such order & direct
the matter in question to be decided
& finally settled & to release the
lands from all incumbrances, and
to grant orders for the citation
and proof of the Legacies to be
performed without any diminishment, and to make such
arrangement as your Honour
may deem fit and proper
for the conduct of the process
& execution of the Decree
uninterruptedly and this
cause in Court.

For which act of charity
and righteousness your Petitioners
shall as in duty bound
ever pray the Almighty for
your Honours long life &
prosperity -

Aberdeen
2-10-02

R.O. 1131 Encl & 1132

Muzr: F. 135-92D 24/11/1902.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 959 / 723

dated 2.10.02 received at the Dewan's Office from Seyed Rahiman Badsha Khadri and Syed Abdul Razak Khadri and others of Kasaranhadu Village, Tumkur Taluk in re the appointment of a manager to the Dargah and the alienation of its lands at Kasaru is forwarded to the Deputy Comr. Tumkur District for enquiry and report.

~~is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the~~

By Order,

Muzrai Secretary

BANGALORE,

189 .

Encl 6 papers

1902

No. 1590

Name of Petitioner Syed Mahomed Badsha Bhadur

Village son of the late Syed Murtuza Bad sha

Taluk Khadri, Manager Jahagir Kesarmbadm village Urudugere

District Sammat Tumkur Taluk

Date of Arzi 4-12-02

Date of Receipt 5-12-02

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT

Petitioner states that on 2d October last, he submitted a petition to Court praying for enquiry into the highhanded and unjust actions towards him of one Agamat pir alias Babji, that in consequence of the troubles which this man still continues to give, much difficulty is felt by the petr in conducting the "Dooas" of the Musjid and the daily affairs of the village; that petrs younger brother Peer Saib Sha Khadri & 2 others are claiming the office of Manager of the Jahagir village, that the office had been held successively by petrs grandfather, petitioners

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 22-12-02
REG. No. 1086
MUZRAI BRANCH

MGCPB—23-3-01—3,000.

father and elder brothers
and jointly by the petitioners
younger ~~younger~~ brother for
8 years, that the affairs of the
Dargah had been mismanaged
during his tenure of office
by petitioners brother, that
petitioner being the elder
brother, it is contrary to law
to appoint his younger
brother and overlook
petrs superior claims
Prays that his case may
be heard by Court or referred
to the Dumka Dist for
an early enquiry and just
orders being passed

15/12.

THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 22-12-02
REG. No. 1086
JUDICIAL BRANCH

Trujillo
12-02

15908
5-12-1902

8

Reminder

MUZRAI

19 —19

From

DEPUTY SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To

The Dy Commr
Tumkur Dt

Dated

Despatched 2nd January 1903

Received

General No. 1556 & 1557
Muzrai F. No. 135-92

Enclosure No. 1

In continuation of this Office No. 1131 / 135-92 Dt 24.11.02 has the honor to forward herewith Petn No 1086 Dt 4.12.02 received in this Office in re the appointment of a Manager to the Darga & the alienation of its lands at Kasaba

MGCPB—2,000—7-6-02 — made

village, Tumkur
Taluk.

The Dy Comm is
requested to expedite
the Subm of the report asked /
called for.

B.D

Dy Secy
Mun Dept

30/1/03

1903

Muzrai case.
Jail 6.1893
1/1 0/6

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
695
23-10-03
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

No. 896

Name of Petitioner ~~Syed Mahamad Sha Khadry~~ and Syed Mahamad Badsha Khadry Hissedars

Village Kesaramadoo

Taluk } Gundlupet

District }

Date of Arzi 10-10-03

Date of Receipt 14-10-03

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

Submitted to D.C. in County

ask him to expedite the Enquiry of the report.

Rs
29/10/03

ABSTRACT

With reference to Govt. Memo No 1557 Stat. 5 that Jail Muzrai 135 92 Officials on deputation regarding the alienations of lands of Suvarnamanya Kesaramadoo and presumption that are mufflo be made regarding the Conduct of Vaidikas and Puja of the Durga &c has been sent to the D.C. Gundlupet for enquiry & report States that the D.C. Gundlupet has not made any enquiries.

matters; that deceased Syed Khader
Badsha Khadeny, elder brother
of the 1st petr was the manager
of the said Durga village;
that Syed Peer Syed Shaikh Khadeny
younger brother of the 1st petitioner
has been the acting manager
of the above; that Azumath Khan
alias Babjee who has no any
right whatever has been creating
unlawful claims for the
said Office and prevents
petitioners from repg the Durga.
pray that their grievances
mentioned in their petition
petition and in this
may thus kindly be taken into
consideration and that the
petitioners may be released
from the trouble given by
the said Azumath Khan
alias Babjee, Shaikh Khinganguru,

2nd-petition

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
695
23-6-03
MUZRA

Mysore Gr
General a
R
8981
14-10-1902

To

His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore
Bangalore

The humble petition of Syed
Mahomad Shakhadry bin Syed
Murtuza Badsha Khadry
and Syed Rahaman Badsha
Khadry bin Syed Abdool Razak
Khadry, Hereditary of Kesari
Hadoo village, Lunkur Taluk
Lunkur district

Most respectfully sheweth:-

That your petitioners
submitted a petition to your
Honour on the 2nd October 1902
regarding the alienations of
lands of the Suvarnamuga
Kesarimadoo made by the
other persons of the Kesarimadoo
village unauthorizedly, and
prayed that such alienations
might be cancelled, and they
further prayed that such arrange-
ments as your Honour might
deem fit and necessary might
be made regarding the con-
duct of the charities & pooja
of the said Durga Muniswamy
Devaru.

and thereupon your petitioners received an endorsement bearing No 1132, dated 24th November 1902 stating that their petition had been sent to the Deputy Commissioner of Lunatics for enquiry & report

2 That no enquiry enquiry was held by the Deputy Commissioner regarding the said petition. Your petitioners submitted to your Honour a reminder dated 4th December 1902 and thereupon, your petitioners received an endorsement bearing No 1557 Inquiry 135-92 stating that their petition had been sent to the Deputy Commissioner of Lunatics for enquiry and report

3 That your petitioners beg to submit that although their petition was presented to your Honour so far back as 2nd October 1902, and a reminder was submitted so far back as 4th December 1902, yet the Deputy Commissioner has not made

matter and your Petitioners have not been granted their prayer as requested in their petition -

4 Azmath Khan alias Babjee referred to in their petition aforesaid is alleged to be the adopted son of Rahaman Khan, and Nasaleer the widow of the late Azmath Shaikhadry referred to in their petition & over him (Azmath Khan alias Babjee) a dry field and a wet land for his maintenance as he was serving her as her servant, but not being satisfied with it he has been trying to usurp the whole of the village lands, and has collected a large number of persons around him and has been giving good deal of trouble, annoyance and inconvenience to widows & other Hissedars, and other Hissedars of the said village, and to the Petitioners also. and to the Petitioners also. He has caused the decency to be in ruins -

5 Syed Khader Badsha Khadry (now deceased) elder brother of the 1st Petitioner he as

was the manager of the said durga & village and was managing the business of the said village & durga very faithfully, and he died about 5 years ago. and since then Syed Deen Gyeb Khadry, younger brother of the 1st petitioner, has been the acting manager of the said village & durga; but the said Ayamath Khan alias Babjee has been creating unlawful claims for the said office before the Deputy Commissioner for the last 5 years, which is still pending. It was held by Major Dobbs the then Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur, that the office of the manager of the said village & durga should be given only to the family of Syed Murtuza Khadry, late father of the 1st petitioner. This order is dated 5th July 1860 and bears Rs 9 2, which was issued to the Amildar of Tumkur for bringing into force. The said original order is submitted with the records connected with the managership of the said village & durga. The

hisscars of the petitioners
and their family have been in
conformity with each other
regarding the offices of the
manager of the village and
Durga, and they have no ob-
jection to any one of their
family being appointed
manager. But the said
Azmath Khan, or his father
or grandfather has not
any right whatever to the office
of the manager. Under the
Mahomadan law, no adoption
can take place, and as such
he is not an heir.

6 The Durga of the village
is in ruination and is about
to fall down, and if petitioners
were to try to repair it the
said Azmath Khan also
major prevents petitioners
from repairing the same
by threatening to assault them
with the help of a large numbers
of persons.

7 Under the above cir-
cumstances, petitioners
most humbly and respectfully
pray your Honour will be
graciously pleased to take
their grievances into consideration
their former petition
referred

referred to above, and also
in this petition & reminder
and to adopt speedy measures
regarding the same, and to
protect them from trouble
and inconvenience caused by
the said Azamath Khan
alias Kaleyoo, and further
to grant their prays which
are mentioned in this petition
dated 2nd October 1902.

& If the matter is delayed
without an early, Petitioners
will be put to much more trouble
and annoyance, and it will
become very difficult for
them to save their lives

Petitioners further pray
that your Honour will be
kindly pleased to enquire
into the matter personally
and relieve them from their
grievances.

For which act of charity
and benevolence Petitioners
shall, as in duty bound, ever pray

Bangalore,
10/10-903

MUZRAI

1901

From Dy/

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To The Dy: Commr:
Tumkur Dt.

Dated 4th October 1903

Despatched

Received

General No. 10074 1608.
Muzrai F. No. 135-9-2

Enclosure No.

In continuation of this Office No. 1556 dy 2nd February 1903 has the honor to forward herewith Petn No 695 dy 10 October 1903 from Syed Mahomed Sha Khadry & Syed Rahman Badsha Khadry in re the affairs of the Darga at Kesara Madu village, Tumkur Taluk and to request that the submission of the report may be expedited. By Order

Dy. Secy

MGCPB—11-1-1901—1500

30/10/03



Received
13/12/06

Refer please

C
14/12/06

Office note submitted for orders
14/12/06.

No 1556 dated 2-2-1903.
135-92

No 1007 dated 4-11-1903
Muz:7 No 135-92

--56-07

From
A Doraiswami Ayya Esq,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kumbum District.

To
A Anantaraman Rao Esq, B.A.,
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
in Muzarai Department.

Dated 10th November 1906.

Despatched

Received

General No.
No. 467

Enclosure No. 7 pls.

His official letter No 1131 dated the 26th November 1902 forwarding for report a petition from Syed Rahimman Bakshu Khadri, Syed Abdul Razak Khadri and others in re the appointment of a Manager to the Darga and the endorsement references on the subject marginally noted.

With reference to the above, the undersigned has the

honour to state that Assaramadu in the Trinkur taluk is a Sarvamanyam village granted for the support of a Darga in that village. The Darga is thus a Mugarai Institution.

The offices under those of the village were being conducted by the managers appointed for the time being by the Government. The last of such managers was one Khadar Basha Khadri who was appointed in July 1893 (vide Govt order No. 113 Mug F 135-92 dated the 27th July 1893). This individual died in April 1899. Since then, the office has been vacant. Several persons have come forward claiming to be appointed to the office on the score of their bearing some kinship with one or the other of the former managers. These claimants are opposed to each other belonging as they do to different factions into which the village

village is divided. The manager did not render accounts of Receipts and Disbursements of the village and the Institution, to the Taluk office as they ought to have done in accordance with standing orders and instructions Government Proceedings No. 1c 1/13 Aug F 135 92 dated the 27th July 1893. Complaint of misappropriation of Durga funds and mismanagement of the Inam village were being scheduled brought against every one of them. The Durga and the Masjid attached thereto are reported to be in a most dilapidated condition now. The village tank is also in ruins. The feeding of the poor and the ceremonies connected with the annual Car-festival and the Moharam festival are not carried out. The appointment of one Imam may

as it is impossible of managers to represent various fractions of the village will not, in the undersigned's opinion, improve matters as past experience has shewn.

2. In these circumstances it is desirable to take the village and the Dargah in question under the management of the Government and thus secure a satisfactory administration of the Charity in question.

3 The entire records bearing on the subject ^ ending with English Memo No 43 dated the 8th October 1906 from the Assistant Commissioner, Gudur Range, are forwarded herewith for reference together with the petitions received with the reference under reply.

4. The question of exemption of some lands in the Inam village

referred to in the letters under
reference will be enquired into.

M. Sorensen Esq
Indian Deputy Commissioner

Rd 3 copies 7.12.06

Mysore.

Read:-

Petition from Syed Mohomed Shah Khadri and Syed Rahman Badsha Khadri, complaining that the inam village of Kesarmadu in the Tumkur Taluk granted to the Dargah at the place, was alienated by the Huzedars and praying for the appointment of a Manager to the institution.

Correspondence ending with the following Docket 1- Letter No 467 of 10th Nov: 1906, from the Deputy Commr

of the Tumkur District

reporting on the above.

In Extenso

Please copy here paras 1, 2, 3 & 4.

— a —

Order thereon :— No. 3109-

~~The State of circumstances~~ C.S. J file.

regarding the affairs of the Mouna Padaha Khadri Darga at Kesavanadurga in the Tumkur Taluk, brought to notice, is so bad that Govt. deem it desirable a step in and take charge of the ~~administration of the~~

~~Devadayam~~

~~the Karavanmadam~~ village of Kesavanmadam ~~granted for its support~~

The Dy Commr recommended that Govt should ~~be~~ assume the management of the mutt & its endowments ~~& Govt~~ as provided in the above referred to Act & Sanction as the charity has been mismanaged and there is no competent person who can be appointed manager of the mutt

2. The Dy Commr is requested to make necessary arrangements for conducting the Daily Services &

in the institution at a small cost and to deposit the remaining amount of income in the Govt. Savings Bank with the view of utilizing it towards renovations the Dargah and the Masjid attached thereto. ~~the action taken by him being reported to Govt. for approval.~~ A memorandum of the proposed expenditure for the purpose should be submitted to Govt for sanction.

3 The D.C. has promised report regarding the alienation of some of the lands in the Inam Village is awaited.

Submitted

6/12/06

2nd put up orders

Secretary

3/12/06 Mus. Dept.

To the D.C. Tuticorin D.O. returning the Revn. records with Govt. to refer. Endt. to pet.

4/5.

Mus. 1 A]

190

From

To

The Deputy Comm
Tumkur Dist

Dated

Despatched

Received 15/5/07

General No. 5921

No.

Enclosure No. 2/

Adverting to Govt
Pro no 3109-10 / mucy 7 135-189
dated 8th December 1906
directing the assumption by
Govt of the management
of the Dargah at Kesara-
madu in the Tumkur Taluk
together with its endowments,
has the honor to forward
herewith petition registered
no 4542 dated 18th April
1907

GPB—3,000—21 7 03

from Syed Mahomed Shah
Khadri, and to request
that the report called
for in the last para of the order cited above regarding the alienation
of some of the lands belonging
to the Dargah, ~~in the last~~
~~para of the order cited above~~
may be submitted at an
early date.

By order,
DBA
Secretary.

Encl. & the petn

6/5/07